UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APR 1 7 2007 FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/754,162 01/07/2004 Phillip D. Servio PS-1 7464 03/27/2007 **EXAMINER** John P. Wooldridge, Esq. Suite 110 MCAVOY, ELLEN M 535 Lipoa Parkway **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Kihei, HI 96753 SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 3 MONTHS 03/27/2007 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6-MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Office Action Occurrence | 10/754,162 | SERVIO ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Ellen M. McAvoy | 1764 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | , | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| | | -· action is non-final. | |
| , | Since this application is in condition for allowar | | prosecution as to the merits is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | vii ii oiii oonolaataton. | |
| · | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | |
| · | The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are: | | ed to by the Examiner. |
| ,— | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · · · · · · | <u>-</u> |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Offic | ce Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | ved in this National Stage |
| * C | application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | , ,,, | yed |
| | bee the attached detailed Office action for a list t | of the certified copies not receive | veu. |
| | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | |
| 1) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | |
| | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atom repriories |
| .S. Patent and T | rademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/754,162

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C, 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinemann et al (6,180,843).

Heinemann et al ["Heinemann"] disclose a method for producing gas hydrates which includes a reactor vessel having a fluidized or expanded bed reaction zone. The reactor vessel has an upper portion and a lower portion, wherein a cross-sectional area of the upper portion is larger than the cross-sectional area of the lower portion. Water is introduced into the reactor vessel and a hydrate forming gas such as natural gas, methane, ethane, propane, butane and carbon dioxide is introduced, under an elevated pressure, into the lower portion of the reactor vessel. Heinemann teaches that preferably the water and gas flow in a countercurrent manner through the reactor and into the fluidized or expanded bed reactor zone. Heinemann teaches that some of the injected water forms seed hydrate particles, while the rest coats already formed particles surrounding the atomizing nozzle. Heinemann teaches that unreacted hydrate-forming gas may be withdrawn from the upper portion of the reactor vessel and recycled back into the fluidized or expanded reaction bed. Heinemann teaches that after the gas hydrates are removed from the reactor vessel, they can be transported to any desired location, e.g., to

Application/Control Number: 10/754,162

Art Unit: 1764

storage or to transport. The examiner is of the position that the process of Heinemann appears to meet the limitations of most of applicants' claims. Applicants' invention differs by introducing a recycle of the fragmented gas hydrate particles back into the reaction chamber. However, such a step appears to be a routine process step obvious to the skilled artisan if additional gas hydrate is needed in the reaction chamber.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 1764

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ellen M.McAvoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy March 24, 2007

Notice of References Cited

| Application/Control No. 10/754,162 | | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SERVIO ET AL. | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|---|-------------|--|
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Ellen M. McAvov | | 1764 | Page 1 of 1 | |

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| * | Α | US-5,536,893 | 07-1996 | Gudmundsson, Jon S. | 585/15 |
| * | В | US-6,028,234 | 02-2000 | Heinemann et al. | 585/15 |
| * | С | US-6,159,252 | 12-2000 | Schutte et al. | 23/313FB |
| * | D | US-6,180,843 | 01-2001 | Heinemann et al. | 585/15 |
| * | E | US-6,350,928 | 02-2002 | Waycuilis et al. | 585/15 |
| * | F | US-6,653,516 | 11-2003 | Yoshikawa et al. | 585/15 |
| | G | US- | | | |
| | Н | US- | | | |
| | ı | US- | | | |
| | J | US- | | | |
| | К | US- | | | |
| | L | US- | | | |
| | М | US- | | | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| | N | · | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | Р | | | | | |
| | Q | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | s | | | | | |
| | Т | | | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) |
|---|----------|---|
| | U | |
| | V | |
| | w | |
| | х | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.